IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLN. NO.1942 OF 2006
IN
CRI.CASE NO. 2338/S/2002

Bipin L.Vora ..Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

...

Mr.R.S.Singh counsel for Applicant
Mr.D.P.Adsule A.P.P. for the State
Ms.Naina Desai for Respondent Nos.2 & 3.

...

CORAM: SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.
DATE : MARCH 13, 2007

P.C.

1. Heard the learned counsel for the applicant-original complainant, the learned counsel for the respondent nos.2 & 3 -original accused, the learned A.P.P. for the State and the complainant who is present in person.

2. The applicant filed a complaint which is numbered as S.C.No.2338/S/2002 under Section 138 read with Section 141 of Negotiable Instruments Act. In the said case, the respondent nos.2 and 3 came to be acquitted by judgment and order dated

6.3.2006 by the learned Metropolitan Magistrate, 10th Court, Andheri, Mumbai. Being aggrieved thereby, this application for leave to appeal has been preferred by the complainant.

3. The learned counsel for the parties have stated that the parties have settled the matter for an amount of Rs.50,000/- and prayed for compounding the offence. The parties have tendered consent terms which are taken on record and marked as 'X' for identification.

4. Application is disposed of in terms of consent terms.

[V.K.Tahilramani, J.]